Cataract surgeons in the developing world must surmount countless obstacles beyond just getting trained—lack of equipment, lack of government funding for eye care, limited quantities of surgical supplies, and a shortage of competent ancillary help. However, the greatest challenge, which would undoubtedly overwhelm most of us in the developed world, is the huge backlog of advanced, mature cataracts in patients with multiple ocular comorbidities, including trauma, corneal scarring, glaucoma, zonulopathy, and diabetic retinopathy. From a personal standpoint, observing these hardships has given me a greater appreciation for my own work environment and tremendous admiration for our dedicated colleagues who toil in such resource-poor settings.

I have long felt that our single greatest challenge in ocular surgery is the rapidly increasing backlog of cataract blindness in the developing world, which accounts for half of all global blindness. One of my early experiences doing phacoemulsification in a developing country was in 2005, when we conducted a randomized, prospective trial to compare phacoemulsification, as performed by me (Figure 4), with sutureless manual small incision cataract surgery (MSICS), as performed by the leading Nepalese surgeon, Sanduk Ruit, MD (Figure 5). MSICS uses a long, temporal, scleral-pocket incision that is tunneled wide enough to enable manual extraction of the undivided nucleus with an irrigating Simcoe cannula (Figure 6). After a PMMA IOL is implanted, the incision is self-sealing without sutures and is largely astigmatically neutral due to its sutureless construction and temporal location. Most important, these advantages are achieved with a low-tech method on exceptionally dense, mature cataracts. Aside from the microscope and the sterilizer, none of the manual instrumentation requires electricity.

Manual small-incision cataract surgery may be safer for mature cataracts. One of my early experiences doing phacoemulsification in a developing country was in 2005, when we conducted a randomized, prospective trial to compare phacoemulsification, as performed by me (Figure 4), with sutureless manual small incision cataract surgery (MSICS), as performed by the leading Nepalese surgeon, Sanduk Ruit, MD (Figure 5). MSICS uses a long, temporal, scleral-pocket incision that is tunneled wide enough to enable manual extraction of the undivided nucleus with an irrigating Simcoe cannula (Figure 6). After a PMMA IOL is implanted, the incision is self-sealing without sutures and is largely astigmatically neutral due to its sutureless construction and temporal location. Most important, these advantages are achieved with a low-tech method on exceptionally dense, mature cataracts. Aside from the microscope and the sterilizer, none of the manual instrumentation requires electricity.

Innovative and counterintuitive thinking can help to address the most challenging problems.
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**SIGNIFICANT LESSONS**
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**LETTER 1**
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**LESSON 2**

A squared posterior optic edge reduces posterior capsular opacification.

**LESSON 3**

Intracameral moxifloxacin prophylaxis is safe and effective.

**LESSON 4**

Many OR regulations may unnecessarily increase cost without proven benefit.
The study included a population of charity patients near Kathmandu, and we used classrooms in a Buddhist monastery as our makeshift ORs (Figure 7). The patients were monitored for 1 year, and we showed that distance UCVA and distance BCVA outcomes were equal between MSICS and phacoemulsification throughout the study period using the World Health Organization’s criterion of 20/60 for functional vision.2 Although outcomes and complication rates were comparable, MSICS was much faster and much less expensive to perform and resulted in faster visual recovery.

I was struck by the tremendous difficulty of doing phacoemulsification when the majority of cataracts were white or ultrabrunescent, the corneas were often scarred from trachoma, and the poor tear film and ocular surface so often marred my surgical view. I concluded that, for the many mature cataracts in this population, most Western surgeons and I would have a much higher complication rate with phacoemulsification than with MSICS.

In subsequent studies, including one of 79,777 consecutive cataract surgeries performed at the Aravind hospital in Madurai, India, we were able to show that, in experienced hands, both phacoemulsification and MSICS had comparably low surgical complication rates of approximately 1%.4,5 However, for inexperienced surgeons and trainees, MSICS had a much lower rate of complications, such as posterior capsular rupture.5 Avoiding retained nuclear fragments is crucial in the many developing countries where there may be no access to a vitreoretinal surgeon. We concluded that, to train new cataract surgeons in underserved societies, MSICS should initially be the procedure of choice.

In my own practice, I resort to MSICS for the densest cataracts when I feel that comorbidities such as a crowded anterior segment, zonulopathy, corneal opacity, or severe miosis may make phacoemulsification the riskier procedure for the endothelium or posterior capsule. It is a wonderful option to have in my surgical armamentarium.

Lesson

A squared posterior optic edge reduces posterior capsular opacification regardless of IOL material. To reduce cost, PMMA IOLs are typically used in conjunction with the large MSICS incision, but they have been associated with higher rates of posterior capsular opacification (PCO). PCO is an inconvenience for patients in developed countries, but in the developing world, where follow-up eye care or an Nd:YAG laser may not be accessible, it is a leading cause of visual impairment. Our prospective, bilateral eye study at the Aravind hospital in Madurai randomized implantation of a round-edged PMMA IOL in one eye and a square-edged PMMA IOL in the other.6 Using digital retroillumination image analysis over 9 years of follow-up, we showed that, with the round-edged optics, the PCO rate did not level off but continued to climb. The square-edged PMMA...
IOLs we used significantly prevented PCO, and they were even statistically superior to square-edged AcrySof foldable IOLs (Alcon) used in a separate, parallel 9-year study.\(^6\)

This is the longest-term bilateral eye study prospectively comparing the impact of IOL edge design on PCO published to date. It demonstrated that this inexpensive edge modification, costing approximately $1 per IOL, might markedly reduce a major cause of visual impairment in developing countries.

**Lesson 3**  
Intracameral moxifloxacin prophylaxis is safe and effective. Because of the expense and difficulty of performing a randomized controlled trial, some of the best evidence on endophthalmitis...
Many OR regulations may unnecessarily increase costs without proven benefit. At one time, one of the main OR regulatory agencies in the United States began mandating a full, wrapped terminal sterilization cycle for eye surgical instruments for every case. There was no published evidence to validate that commonly used shorter steam sterilization cycles were safe and effective. A subsequently published study of more than 42,000 consecutive cases at the Aravind hospital in Pondicherry was a key article used to substantiate the safety of shorter cycle sterilization of ophthalmic instruments in the United States.9 This study also documented a low endophthalmitis rate of 0.09% despite the reuse of gowns, gloves, surgical tubing, irrigating solutions, and a number of instruments and drugs limited to single use in the United States. Another concern with reuse of instruments is the risk of toxic anterior segment syndrome (TASS). Our study at the Aravind hospital in Pondicherry found the rate of TASS to be 0.22% in 26,408 consecutive eyes and included two identifiable clusters.10 This is the only large study to report the sporadic incidence of TASS, and we reported a very low incidence of serious long-term complications.

As noted previously, charity patients account for 60% of AECS’s cataract surgical volume. Therefore, maintaining safety and quality while reducing unnecessary costs and procedural steps is necessary to maximize surgical efficiency and access to those blinded by cataract. Surgeons at both AECS and Tilganga further improve efficiency by operating on multiple patients simultaneously in the same OR. Despite the use of innumerable OR practices that would never be permitted in the United States, the endophthalmitis rate in more than 528,000 consecutive cataract surgeries at the 10 AECS facilities following routine adoption of IC moxifloxacin is only 0.02%.8

CONCLUSION

Low-tech MSICS through larger incisions, use of extremely low-cost IOLs and supplies, reuse of as many supplies as possible, and 10-case-per-hour assembly-line efficiency would be unthinkable practices in developed countries. However, solving the challenge of eradicating cataract blindness in the developing world requires innovative and sometimes counterintuitive thinking. The noble surgeons at AECS and Tilganga Eye Hospitals should be celebrated not only for their compassion, but also for the lessons that they can teach the rest of us (Figures 8 and 9).
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